

6000 0322 (4840)

- 1350 feet -

- 7/7
- ① Good exposures, F typical WER
(fragments var.) all the way along in thin
from 1440 to 1350.
 - ② Spec A Good exposure, F WER
Showing extensive pink & white fragments
& occasional greenish greyish Anhydrite.
Some fragments seem to show incipient
"spherulite" spec. illustrates this.
Proportion of "pink" higher here than
in lower levels.
 - ③ Typical EB do shown, much silicified manganese.
 - ④ Spec B (Bandana) shows green chalcocite
matrix development to tuff (?) inclusion.
 - ⑤ Spec in case Gypsum (?) x10 10" long
from top
 - ⑥ Btw. 1350 WER shows massive pyritization
& flocular than bent form. Spec. (C)
shows dark interbedded material - giving
locally appearance not unlike EB



Tonopah formation -- tuff

No.	Elev.	Coord.	Ad.	gr. chb.	calc.	Ab	py	ser.	sec gr.	clay?	
✓ 57			VR	R	M-R	A	M	M	A	-?	
✓ 58			R	VR	M	M-R	M+	A	M+	-?	
✓ 59			-	-	R-M	R?	M	A-	M	M	
69			-	-	-	-?	M-	A-	(-?)	R?	
✓ 76			-	-	VR	A	M	R	M-A	-?	much original glass Photo for shards -
97			R	-	-	-	M-R	M	M-R	M?	
114			R	M	-	M-A	M+	M+	M	-	clastic
118			R	-	-	-	M+	M	(-?)	M-R?	much org. gr.
✓ 123			A	-	-	M-	M	M-	M-R	M-R	
137			-	-	-	-	A	A	(-?)	-	much org. gr.
138			-	-	-	-	M+	A	(-?)	-	org. gr.
139			-	-	-	-	M-R	A	(-?)	-	org. gr. + very little alteration
140			M	R	-?	M	M?	M	(-?)	M	org. gr. + extremely Ab + Ad.
141			M	-	A	M	M	R	(-?)	?	" " "
142			M	M-R	-	M	M-	M	(-?)	-	" " "
143			M	M	VR?	M-	-	M	(-?)	M-	epidote? gr. gr. rarely
144			M	M+	M+	M	VR?	-	M-	(-?)	GM gr.
147			M	M	-	M	VR	M	(-?)	M-	epidote?
✓ 150			A	-	-	M-	M	M	(-?)	-	Qtz fragments GM ad
186			?	-	-	-?	M	M+	M-	-	GM gr.
188			A	M-	-	M-	M-	M-R	M-	-	GM ad
189			M?	-	-	M-	M-	M+	M-	-	GM gr. + ad
190			M?	M-	-	M	M-	M	R	-	Prob a flow? GM gr. + ad
303			A	-	-	-	M+	M+	M	-	GM ad
304			A	-	-	-	-	M+	M+	-	" "
259			-	-	-?	-	M+	A	R?	-	" gr. + ser.
279			M-	-	-	M-	A	A	-	-	" " " mainly
305			-	-	R+	-	M	A	M+	M	dark carb -
353	6540N 10615E		-	-	-	-	M	A	(-?)	-	epi? org. gr. " " "
377			-	A	A	M-R	magg?	M-	(-?)	-	and Fe? " " " rare " " "
386			-	A	-	-	magg?	A	(-?)	-	and Fe? GM in isotropic N.L. 1.58
389	5115N 9305E		-?	M+	A	M+	M-	M	(-?)	-	" gr. + al. + ser.
398			-	-	-	-	M	M-	(-?)	A?	GM gr. + clay -
399			-	-	-	-	M-	A	(-?)	M?	GM gr. + sericitic
✓ 403			M+	-	-	R-M?	M-lum	A	(-?)	M-	fine gr. carb? GM gr. + sericitic
1407			A	M	-	M	R	R	(-?)	M-	GM gr. + ad
417			R	-	-	-	M+	A	(-?)	-	" " sericitic

Dunopaho formation - flows & dikes

Glassy Trachytic

No	Elev.	Cord	Ad	gr ch	calc	Ab	py	ser	sec gr	dickite? clay	
75			A	-	-	-	M+	M-	A	M+	TiO ₂
79			R	-	-	-	M+	R	A	M+	
266			A	-	-	M	M-	-?	A	-	Unknown pleno. qtz + glass
253			M	-	-?	?	M-	M+	M+	M+	pleno. qtz + glass
281			-	-	-	M	M+	R	M+	M+	qtz + glass?
325			M+	R	-	-	M	R	M+	M	qtz + glass
334			?	-	-	R	M-	R	M+	M	qtz + glass
360			M	-	-	?	M-	R+	A?	-	zirconite?
378			-	-	-	M	M+	R+	M	-	feld (Ab?)
431			?	-	-	-	M	M-	M+	M+	qtz + glass

other flows

62	?	-	M-	M+	M+	M- (part -)			-	Anal? Photo?	feld laths
70	?	-	A (andent)	M*	M-	M+ "R			M?		feld -
71	?	-	A (andent)	M?	M-	M	R	M+	TiO ₂		feld -
72	?	-	M (and?)	M+	M+	M-	R	M			feld -
85	R?	-	-?	-	A	A	A	-	TiO ₂		qtz + ser.
87	M	-	-	R?	M+	M	M	-	TiO ₂		qtz + feld.
92	M	-	-	?	M+	M+	R	M+	TiO ₂ R		feld + quartz
209	-	-	-	M	M	R	M+	-	?		qtz + glass?
310	M	-	M (andent)	-	M+	M	M	-	TiO ₂ R zirconite?		ser + feld.
385	-	M+	-	M	M-	R	R	-			feld -

dikes

116	-	M	R (and.)	-	M-	M-	R	M+	TiO ₂	
117	?	M	M (and)	M+	M	M	M	?		feld + qtz feld?

Trompah formation - breccias.

No.	Elev	Coord.	Ad.	Green chlorite	calc.	Ab.	Pig	scr.	scr. gtr.	clay-	TiO ₂	Mature-
60			R	R	R	-	R+	R+	(R)	R		largely gtr some scr
61			M?	VR	-	-	M-	M+	(A)	?		gtr + scr.
66			sam?	A	M+	R	M-	R+	(R)	?		
67			sam? M?	-	-	-	R+	M+	(R)	?		gtr, scr, ad.
74			local	R	-	-	M-	A	()	?	Adularized fragment -	gtr, scr
88			M	-	-?	?	M+	M+	(M)	?	shards in matrix.	gtr, scr ad.
89			A	-	-?	-	R+	M-	(R)	?	Fragments & matrix adulterated	
90			A	-	-	-	M	R	(R)	M+	much TiO ₂ & shards in matrix. Matrix ad.	
96			A	-	-	R	M+	R+	(M)	M+		"
99			-?	-	-	-	M	A	(M-)	?		gtr gtr + sericitic.
107			A	(M)	-	R	M	M	(M)	M		gtr, scr, ad.
115			M?	-	-	-	M	M+	()	?		gtr + feld?
117			M?	M	-	M+	M	M	()	-		gtr + feld? (ad.)
119			M-	R	-	-	M	M+	(A)	?		gtr + feld? + ser.
128			no	slide	-							
145			R?	M	M-	M+	M	M-	()	?		gtr + feld?
146			M?	M-	?	M	M	M-	(M?)	?		gtr + ad?
148			M+	M-	?	M	M-	M-	()	?		gtr + ad.
149			M	M	?	M	R	A-	()	?		gtr, ab? + ad.
161			M	R	-	M	R	M	M	?		coarse gtr - scr.
163			M?	-	-	M?	M+	M+	()	?		pre-br sericitic?
185			?	M	-	M-	R	A	()	?		pre-br scr + gtr?
192			?	R	R+	?	M	A	(R)	?		pre-br scr?
205			no	slide	-							gtr + scr.
211			?	-	-	?	M-	M+	(M)	?		gtr + scr.
242			?	-	-	?	M	A	(A)	?		gtr + scr.
268			?	A	?	M	-	A	()	?		gtr, scr, chl?
324			M	-	-	?	R	A	()	M,		gtr, scr, ad.
336			A	A	-	M?	-	M	(M)	?	??	
340			?	M	M	M	R	M	(M)	?		gtr, scr, chl.
347			?	M	-	M	R	M	()			fine gr?
351			?	M	M	M	-	M	R			fine gr
380			?	R	M+	M	VR	A	-			prob WE
381			M	M	R	?	M-	M-	M	?		adularized incl in gtr matrix
384			-	M+	M+	M+	-	M-	-	-		fine gr field
391			A	-	-	M	M	M-	(A)	?		gtr + scr
397			A?	-	-	?	M+	M+	()	?		gtr, ad? + scr
402			?	-	-	A	M	M	(M)	?		pre-br sericitic?
404	7440N 7485E		M?	-	-	?	M	M	()			xl tuff - <u>shards</u> in matrix
430			A?	-	R	M	M	M+	(M)	?		pre-br sericitic?
												ad frag
												gtr matrix

Dongopal formation
Sand Grass arenite member.

No.	Elev.	Coord	Ad.	gr. chl.	calc	Ab	py	ser	acc gtr	clay	Tabs.	
30			R+	M	snd R	?	M	M+	M+	M	R	gtr, seric?
31			-?	M	-	-?	M	A	M+	R	R	gtr, ser, chl.
45			-?	M	no slide							gtr, dark field?
49			-?	M	M	M+	M+	M-	R+	-	?	dark field + chl.
68			-	A	snd R	M	-	M-	-	M	R+	field, chl.
81			R+	M+	snd VR	M	M+	M-	M+	M	M	gtr, dark field?
110			-?	M	M+	M	-	R	VR	-	?	dark field + chl.
113					no slide							syndote M+
120			R?	R+	-	M-	M	A	A	-	M	gtr, ser (feld)
121			-	A	M-	M	-	R+	-?	-	?	syndote M+
125					no slide							dark field chl.
127					no slide							
162			-	M+	M	M	VR	R+	VR	R?	M+	dark field, chl.
170			A	M	-	-	M+	M+	M+	M'	M	ser, chl, gtr, feld?
191			M+	M	-	-	M	M+	M+	A	M?	" " "
193					no slide							
206					no slide							
208			A?	-	-	M+	M	A	M-	?		G.T. not chl. feld.
222			2	M+	M	M+?	-	M-	amyg	2	M+?	reproductive?
223												I.C has -
224			M+	A	R	?	M	M	M+	M-	M+	feld chl ser.
231			?	M+	M	M?	-mag	M-	R	-	M+	dark field + chl.
235			M?	M+	-	-?	-	A	(circle)	-	?	ser largely
238			M-?	M+	M+	?	-mag	-	-	-	M+	latrodrusis andersoni
273			?	M+	M+	A	R	M-	A	R?	M-?	feld + ser gtr + feld.
282			?	A	-	M-	M+	M+	M	-?	M?	dark field chl ser
313			?	M-	-	?	M	A	M-	?	M?	gtr feld? chl chl.
314			-?	R	R	-	A	A	A	-?	M?	zonte? R?
315			-	M+	-	M-	-mag	M+	A	-	M?	gtr, ser. gtr + chl.
406			-	M	-	M	-mag	M	M-	?	M?	dark field, chl
413			-?	A	M	M	-dem	M	M	?	M	dark field, chl ser.
428			-?	M	M+	M	-mag	R	M	-?	M	gtr? dark field chl.
449			-?	M	-	M	M+	M-	(M)	?	R	dark field

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
CHEMICAL LABORATORY

Report of analysis No.

D-180

Material received from

T. B. Nolan

(No letter)

Halotrichite. Tonopah District.

The material, consisting of light yellow colored stalactites and incrustations, although shown by optical examination to be not homogeneous, conforms fairly well to the formula $\text{R}_2\text{O}_5\cdot\text{RO}\cdot4\text{SO}_3\cdot15\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Except for a deficiency of H_2O this agrees with the composition of the halotrichite group of minerals, which likewise are found under similar conditions, geologically.

The analysis, although not complete, is probably adequate in view of the heterogeneous and variable character of the material.

	Per cent Ratios				
SO_3	39.40	49.25	49.25	or	$4 \times .99$ (x 12.35)
H_2O^+	19.02	105.7	179.2	"	15×1.0
$\text{H}_2\text{O}-$	15.23	73.5			"
Fe_2O_3	15.84	8.65	11.65	"	$1 \times .94$
Al_2O_3	5.08	3.0			"
FeO	.32	.44			
ZnO	1.50	1.85			
MnO	7.00	9.86	13.15	"	1×1.06
BaO(?)	.84	.55			
MgO	.18	.45			
$\text{Na}_2\text{O}+\text{K}_2\text{O}$	n.d.	...			
Ash	<u>.20</u>	...			
	<u>98.61</u>				

Optically, at least, three well defined compounds are seen to be present; their exact determination would be a matter of great difficulty in view of the complexity of this group of hydrous sulphate minerals. It is also possible that molecular changes have occurred in the preparation of the thin section.

Examined by

Clark Melt

Reported

May 12, 1932

R. C. Wells

Chief Chemist.

12/11/31

The Elko flora is closely related in age to the
Mancall Miocene, and to a lesser extent to the Bridge
Creek of Lower Miocene or Upper Oligocene age. Its
dominant species is Taxodium mucronatum, which
with associated Spiraea langsdorffii
^{chick spelling}
and Alnus carpinoides indicate the moderately
humid climatic conditions of the middle Miocene.

Tonopah formation

6000 0322 (484)

6T (Spurr)

TR (Spurr)

1170 Bel
25803, 863W
B, G + B

765 Mont
9880N, 9555E
B, G + B

Belmont
XC Strom
Dolomites
B, G + B

700RP
60'5mhr
B, G + B

1500Hg.
B, G + B

1200 Aug. Itah.
G3 Young

SrO	71.79	72.27	75.44	75.45	68.86	75.17
MnO	15.24	16.35	13.77		16.33	15.83
Fe/TiO	2.00	1.96	0.80	{ 0.78	2.21	0.17 (FeS ₂)
FeO	0.10	0.19	0.05		0.38	0.90 .013
CaO	4	- 4	4		1.30	0.46 .008
MgO	0.08	0.49	4		0.68	0.39
Aa, TiO	1.21	0.22	0.27	1.12	1.76	2.08
K ₂ O	5.51	3.71	6.39	5.74	5.00	3.918
	95.93	95.22	96.72	83.09	96.52	98.18

qfr, ad
+ recr + dissolution
byt.

CO₂ 0.61 .014

H₂O 2.16

100.95

others appear to have mixed NaK feld -

intermediate in age between the Arroyal trachyte and "Montana breccia". In the two previous reports by the writer this name Spurr, S.E. op cit (Econ. Geol. vol 10) pp 729-732 was retained with the rank of a formation, although it was recognized that the andesite ~~was~~ formed two or more intercalated masses of flows & breccias within the Donopah formation. V

Stearns, T.B. op cit (Geol. Surv. Bull. 24) pp 13-15; (Am. Geol. Bull. vol 29) pp 16-19.

In summary, the Donopah formation ~~is~~ as the name is used in this report, ^{is composed of} ~~includes~~ a series of interbedded flows, tuffs, and breccias and includes in whole or in part rocks that have been formerly assigned to the Donopah rhyolite, Lower rhyolite, glassy trachyte, Montana breccia, and Sand grass andesite.

Distribution. -

53137

Room No.

1. Mr.....
2. Mr.....
3. Mr..... *Nolan*

From Chemistry

6000 0322 (4810)