4190.0031 Santa Fe Dist. approx. 3 mi.

Uran Pb Ag

Mineral County

(Nevada)

EXAMINATION RECORD

REJECTED

SOUTHWEST VENTURES, INC. Date 9/20/57
SI East Grant Food Tucson, Aria.
Phone 4-6054

1. Location; access; haulage distance: Approximately waile from paved road and 3 miles the north of the rail head at Luning, sevade. 2. Owners; address and phone of principal: Unknown 3. Ore exposures: size, tenor, projectability: None 4. Previous testing; nature, when done: Stall amount of buildozer stripping. 5. Production history of property, district, and/or vicinity: No uranium production. 6. Ore targets? Elaborate. Give grade and size expectancy: None 7. Do you suspect ore targets in immediate or general vicinity? Elaborate: 8. Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarse white rhyol taff which has been mottled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have considerable areal extent, It is out by an andesite dike 30 feet couth of the		Property	District	County	State
2. Owners; address and phone of principal:		SEQUOUI	SANTA TE	MINERAL	NEVAUL
None None Previous testing; nature, when done: Suall amount of buildozer stripping. Previous testing; nature, when done: Suall amount of buildozer stripping. Production history of property, district, and/or vicinity: No uranium production. Ore targets? Elaborate. Give grade and size expectancy: Nane Do you suspect ore targets in immediate or general vicinity? Elaborate: B. Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarse white rhyol anti which has been mettled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have onesiderable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the interrol particles intrusive racks. 300 feet south of the interrol a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.		Location; access; haulage dista	nce: Approximately g mile	e from paved road an	d 3 miles
Previous testing; nature, when done: Suall amount of buildozer stripping. Description of property, district, and/or vicinity: No uranium production. Ore targets? Elaborate. Give grade and size expectancy: Name Do you suspect ore targets in immediate or general vicinity? Elaborate: B. Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarse white rhyolouff which has been mottled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have one siderable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the interrop a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.		Owners; address and phone of	principal: <u>Unknown</u>		
6. Production history of property, district, and/or vicinity: No uranium production. 6. Ore targets? Elaborate. Give grade and size expectancy: 7. Do you suspect ore targets in immediate or general vicinity? Elaborate: 8. Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarse white rhyol suff which has been mettled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have onesiderable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the sineralization and apparently overlies intrusive rucks. 300 feet south of the suterop a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.		Ore exposures: size, tenor, pro	jectability: None		
5. Ore targets? Elaborate. Give grade and size expectancy: None 7. Do you suspect ore targets in immediate or general vicinity? Elaborate: 8. Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarse white rhyelength which has been mettled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have ensiderable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the ineralization and apparently everlies intrusive rocks. 300 feet south of the suterop a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.		Previous testing; nature, when	done: Suall amount of bu	lidozer etripping.	
Do you suspect ore targets in immediate or general vicinity? Elaborate: Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarge white rhyol aff which has been mottled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have considerable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the ineralization and apparently everlies intrusive rocks. 300 feet south of the uterop a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.		Production history of property	y, district, and/or vicinity: No	uranium production.	
Geologic setting, ore guides: The uranium mineralization is in coarse white rhyol aff which has been mottled with pink hematite stains. The tuff seems to have ensiderable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the ineralization and apparently everlies intrusive rocks. 300 feet south of the uterop a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.	-	Ore targets? Elaborate. Give	grade and size expectancy: Ken		
onsiderable areal extent. It is cut by an andesite dike 30 feet south of the ineralization and apparently everlies intrusive rocks. 300 feet south of the uterop a lead-silver showing crops out in the underlying intrusives. This is apparently related to the uranium.			immediate or general vicinity?	-	
. Indicated, inferred, and potential ore; tonnage and tenor: kone	0	of which has been mottle desiderable areal extent. deralization and apparen- toron a lead-silver show	It is out by an andesi tly overlies intrusive r ing crops out in the un	te dike 30 feet sou	th of the
		Indicated, inferred, and poten	tial ore; tonnage and tenor:	lone	

	Gross value/ton of expected shipping grade:
1.	Type of mining, mine entry; depth exploration drilling:
?.	Costs/ton: exploration mining
	haulage milling smelting
3.	Operating profit content/ton (exclusive of royalty and purchase price):
}.	Terms: royalty and/or cash which we would appear to have to meet; do you think terms could be mor favorably negotiated? Ascertain whether "owners" have royalty commitment to others:
	What would you consider to be reasonable terms on the property?
5.	Is property worth further effort, considering all of the above data? Explain by figures and/or reasoning
-	y .52 UgOs (Chem.) The prospect was represented as being more attractive
1	19 12 was 10 mm . 0 be.
: **	Other comments and sample results:
: **	
: **	
10	

Attachment: Sketch map, form 1006