COMBINED METALS REDUCTION CO.

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Subject PROPOSED MECHANIZATION - CASELTON MINE

Date November 9, 1953

To E. H. Snyder, General Manager

From S. S. Arentz

Dear Mr. Snyder:

All industry has the problem of increasing the productivity of labor to keep pace with steadily increasing wage rates and supply costs. The mining industry is no exception; in fact with mining the problem is particularly acute because the industry generally has a higher than average ratio of labor to material costs. The answer to the problem lies in improved methods and better machines, but there is no final answer. The best methods and the most modern machines of yesterday may not be adequate to meet tomorrow's competition,

The metal mining industry has fallen behind the coal mining industry in underground mechanization. There are some notable exceptions, however, and as might be supposed, they are generally operations with large, uniform ore deposits of such low average value that they were forced to mechanize to survive. The Alabama iron mines and the low grade lead-zinc operations of the Tri-State, Tennessee and Washington are examples of successful mechanization. I recently observed the mechanized operations at the Grand View Mine of the American Zinc Company at Metalline Falls, Washington and a report of my observations at attached hereto.

The Caselton mining operations are probably ahead of the industry average in adopting new methods and modern equipment, but the last major change at Caselton was during the period 1941 through 1943 when scrapers and slusher hoists replaced hand shovels and wheelbarrows. Constant improvement in the utilization of this equipment, improved drill bits and newer type rock drills have offset a substantial part of the steadily increasing wage and supply costs, but it has been apparent for some time that a major change in methods and equipment would ultimately be required. The mounting operating losses of the past year and the prospect of continued low metal prices necessitate an immediate change if operations are to continue.

The Caselton mine has the large, uniform ore deposits required to warrant capital expenditures for mechanized mining equipment, but the necessity for roof support and the relatively low headroom have been major obstacles to mechanization. During the past year roof bolting has been successfully applied to ground support in many sections of the mine, leaving the stopes clear for heavy equipment, and several types of equipment have become available that will operate within the headroom limitations of the ore bodies. The company was successful in having the Nevada Legislature amend the State Mining Law to permit the use of deisel equipment underground, which removed one other obstacle to mine mechanization.

As has been mentioned previously, an attached report describes the mechanization of the Grand View Mine. The key unit in that operation is a loading hauling device called a "Gismo". It appears that the equipment and methods can be modified to meet the conditions at Caselton. Our Caselton Mine Superintendent and Caselton Mine Foreman are currently at Grand View studying the equipment and methods and upon their return to Caselton, Mr. Dale Hayes, Manager of Grand View and designer of the equipment and methods used there, will come to Caselton to obtain information at first hand on which to base the design of equipment for that operation and to work out methods with the local staff.

Assuming that the equipment will be available, it is proposed to install one unit in our 660 level Class I ore block and a second unit in our 840 level Class II ore block. Each unit would be designed to produce 7,000 tons of ore per month operating one shift. Because of the thinner ore and the necessity for roof support at Caselton, the Grand View system would require a number of modifications and would require more men to produce the same tonnage. An estimated 60 days would be required for equipment delivery. During this period work could be completed on level preparation, chute and dump installations, and on opening up ore faces. Because of space limitations in the Caselton shaft, the equipment will have to be delivered disassembled, and assembled on the shaft stations.

Attached hereto are tabulations showing details of estimated manpower requirements, mine production and operating costs. The estimated manpower requirements and costs may prove to be high because, to allow for the different conditions at Caselton, the stope crews on the 660 level have been estimated at twice, and on the 840 level at three times the number of men actually required to produce a greater daily tonnage at Grand View. The estimates are summarized as follows:

Production

15,000 tons of company ore per month. With an average of 26 working days per month, daily production is estimated at 577 tons per day of which 37 tons per day will come from square set stopes in sulphide ore, and 270 tons per day will come from each of two mechanized stoping areas in Class I and Class II ore.

Estimated Manpower Requirements	Men	Tons Per Day	Tons Manshift
15	men	Ter Day	TIGITALI
Stoping			
Sulphide Stopes	9	37	4.1
660 Level Class I Ore	11	270	24.5
840 Level Class II Ore	15	270	18.0
Total Stoping	35	577	16.5

	Men	Tons Per Day	Tons Manshift
Development	10	77	7.7
Transportation	13		
Equip. Maint,	6		
Mine Maint.	6	emakendang.	****
Total Mine - Daily Wage	70	577	8.2

Estimated Costs

Account Stoping Development Transportation Equip, Maint, Mine Maint. Power Sundry	Estimated Total Month \$31,263 8,645 9,027 5,995 4,755 8,200 9,547	Costs Cost Per Ton \$2.07 0.57 0.60 0.40 0.31 0.54 0.64	Actual 1953 \$3.86 0.64 1.41 0.53 0.67 0.53 1.05	Actual 1952 \$ 3.90 1.31 1.61 0.72 1.26 0.55 1.47	Actual 1951 \$3.50 0.78 1.22 0.56 1.14 0.57 1.42
Total Operating	\$77,432	\$5.13	\$8.67	\$10.82	\$9.19
Average Tons Ore Average Tons Wast		15,100 2,000	17,251 2,424	15,263 4,806	15,993 3,456
Average Tons Tota	al Rock	17,100	19,675	20,069	19,449

The above tabulation indicates the saving anticipated by the proposed mechanization. While at first glance the cost reduction indicated would appear impossible unless our present and past operations are inexcuseably inefficient, it must be kept in mind that the proposed operations represent a radical departure in both methods and equipment. Under the proposed operation each man becomes a specialist in his particular job and works the full shift at his specialty. Operations will be confined to three stoping areas with the two main production areas working only one shift, resulting in substantial savings in transportation, service and supervision as well as in direct face costs. Our equipment will be reduced from fifty to sixty slusher hoists to three slusher hoists and two tractor units in the stopes.

On the basis of an indicated saving of \$3.54 per ton from average costs for the first nine months of 1953, and on the anticipated tonnage of 15,000 tons per month, the equipment will repay its estimated \$80,000.00 cost in less than two months.

November 9, 1953 Mr. E. H. Snyder, General Manager -4-I am having Messrs. Durk and Russell prepare an independent estimate covering the proposed operations as soon as they return from their trip to the Grand View Mine. Very truly yours, S. S. Arentz, Manager Nevada Operations SSA: sp attach.

ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS PROPOSED OPERATIONS - 1954 FORECAST

Daily Wage Employees

Job Classification	Trib Sulph	660 Class I	840 Class II	Gen. Service	Total Men
Stope Miners Gismo Operators Roof Bolters Powdermen Utility Timber Framers	6 0 0 0 2 1	6 1 2 1 1	8 1 4 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	20 2 6 2 4 1
Total Stoping	9	11	15	0	35
Develop. Miners Trammers	0	4	4	0	g- 2
Total Development	0	5	5	0	10
Maint. Timbermen Shaft Repairmen	0	0	0	4 2	4 2
Total Mine Maint.	0	0	0	6	6
Motormen Motor Helper Shaft Cager Shaft Hoistmen Top Men Truck Driver	1 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2	3 3 2 2 2
Total Transportation	2	2	2	7	13
Black Smith Drill Doctor Mechanics Electrician	0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	1 1 1	1 1 3 1
Total Equip. Maint.	0	1	1	4	6
Total Daily Wage	11	19	23	17	70

ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS PROPOSED OPERATIONS - 1954 FORECAST

Salaried Employees

Job Title	N	o. of M	en
General Mine Supt.		1/2	
Caselton Mine Supt.		1	
Caselton Mine Foreman		1	
Caselton Mine Shift Boss		2	
Mining Engineers		2	
Working Boss - Development		1	
Working Boss - Maintenance		ī	
Master Mechanic		1/2	
Chief Electrician		1/2	
Chief Clerk		1/2	
Chief Chemist		1/2	
General Mechanical Supt.		1/2	
Timekeeper		1	
Total Salaried		12	

ESTIMATED PRODUCTION AND COSTS CASELTON MINE FORECAST - 1954

Production								
Production	Dry		Aver	age A	SSAVS		Value	Per
Class of Ore	Tons	Au	Ag	Pb	Zn	Mn	Per Month	Ton
Sulphide Lead-Zinc Sulphide Lease Ore Class I Manganese Class II Manganese	0re 1,000 100 7,000 7,000	.06 .03 .02 .03	3.2 4.1 1.1 2.7	3.2 4.1 1.4 1.9	8.8 12.0 2.4 1.2	10.5	\$ 7,910 468 44,590 55,790	\$7.91 4.68 6.37 7.97
Total Production Development Waste Total Rock	15,100 2,000 17,100						\$ <u>108.758</u>	\$7.20
Estimated Expense				3				
Account	Labor	Sı	upplies	2	Other		Total	Ton
Stoping Development Transportation Equip. Maint. Mine Maint. Power Sundry	\$18,579 5,725 7,727 2,895 3,655 100 8,422	\$1	12,684 2,920 1,300 3,100 1,100 100 850		8,000		\$31,263 8,645 9,027 5,995 4,755 8,200 9,547	\$2.07 0.57 0.60 0.40 0.31 0.54 0.64
Total Operating General Expense	\$47,103 10,500		22,054 1,500		\$ 8,275		\$77,432 14,000	\$5.13 0.93
Total Mine Cost Equipment Amort.	\$57,603	\$2	23,554		\$10,275 _3,593		\$91,432 3,593	\$6.06 0.23
Total Expense	\$57,603	\$2	23,554		\$13,868		\$95.025	\$6.29
Estimated Profit	» 						\$13,733	\$0.91

APPENDIX TO REPORT ON PROPOSED MECHANIZATION - CASELTON MINE

Basis for Mining Cost Estimate

(1) Labor

The cost of one daily wage employee per month was calculated from the miner rate of \$14.54 per eight hour shift. This is actually slightly above the average base rate on the mine payroll. The monthly rate was calculated on the basis of a 6 days (48 hour) week and an average month of 26 working days.

Base wage (miners rate) Add shift differential @ 1/2 time Add overtime @ 1/12		\$ 14.54 per day 0.24 1.23
Average daily wage		16.07 per day
F.O.A.B.	2-1/2%	
Unemployment Security	1-1/2%	
Compensation Insurance	5%	
Total Payroll Taxes	9%	\$ 17.45 per day
Total Cost per day		
Cost per month - 26 days @ \$17.45		453.70 per month
Add Holiday Pay - 1/2 day per month	×	8,73
Add Hospital Surgical Insurance		3,00
Add bonus estimated to average \$2.00	per day	52.00
Total estimated cost per month		\$517.43

Vacation pay is charged seperately on our books to Sundry Expense and for that reason is not included in the above.

(2) Stoping

Labor - 35 men @ \$517.45 Lease Payments on 100 tons ore Total estimated labor	\$18,111.00 486.00 \$18,579.00
Supplies - Cost taken from nine months of 1953 averages 84¢ per ton - 15,000 @ 84¢	12,684.00
Total Estimated Stoping Cost	\$31,263.00

It is estimated that tractor fuel cost will be offset by reduction in cost of slusher cable, sheaver blocks and small tools.

(3) Development

Labor - 10 men @ \$517.45 1 Working Boss Total estimated labor	\$ 5,175.00 550.00 \$ 5,725.00
Supplies - Average 1st nine months of 1953 \$1.46 per ton waste - 2,000 @ \$1.46	2,920.00
Total Estimated Development Cost	\$ 8,645.00

No diamond drilling or churn drilling is included in proposed development. Proposed work is confined to preparatory work, haulage levels and ore pass raises under main 660 and 840 ore blocks.

(4) Transportation

(4)	Transport ded tor	
	Labor - Operating - 13 men - \$517.45 Maintenance - from operating statements Total estimated labor cost	\$ 6,727.00 1,000.00 \$ 7,727.00
	Supplies - From 1953 actual costs reduced to number of operating units required	1,300.00
	Total Estimated Cost of Transportation	\$ 9,027.00
(5)	Equipment Maintenance	
	Labor - 6 men @ \$517.45 Less 1/2 of maintenance to transportation Less 1/2 of blacksmith charged to Sundry Plus mechanical working boss Total estimated labor cost	\$ 3,105.00 500.00 260.00 550.00 \$ 2,895.00
	Supplies - 1953 average - modified to revised program.	3,100.00
	Total Estimated Equipment Maintenance	\$ 5,995.00
(6)	Mine Maintenance	
	Labor - 6 men @ \$517.45 Maintenance on pumps - 1953 average Total estimated labor cost	\$ 3,105.00 550.00 \$ 3,655.00
	Supplies - On basis of 1953 average	1,100.00
	Total Estimated Mine Maintenance	\$ 4.755.00

(7) Power

Labor - estimated on basis of 1953 Supplies - estimated on basis of 1953	\$ 100.00
Other - Cost of Power	8,000,00
Total Estimated Cost	\$ 8,200.00

(8) Sundry

Item	Labor	Supplies	Other	Total
Assaying Blacksmithing Change room Engineering Office Supervision Shop Expense Vacation Pay	\$1,300 260 260 1,000 250 2,600 1,200 1,552	\$ 400 100 100 50	\$	\$1,700 360 360 1,050 250 2,600 1,400 1,552
Mine Rental Tax on Haulage			200 75	200
Total	\$8,422	\$ <u>850</u>	\$ 275	\$9,547

Mechanical and electrical labor included in the estimate is as follows:

m - 1-11	# 7 000 00
Transportation - equipment maintenance	\$ 1,000,00
Equipment maintenance general	7,727.00
Mine Maint Pump maintenance	550,00
Power - Maintenance labor	100.00
Sundry - Blacksmith	260.00
Shop Expense	1,200,00
Total Mechanical Labor and Supervision	\$11,033,00

Salaried staff, exclusive of mechanical supervision, charged into the above estimate include the following:

Development Working Boss Mining Engineers (2)	\$ 550.00
Mine Foreman	
Mine Superintendent	
Mine Shift Bosses (2)	
General Mine Supt. (1/2)	2,600.00
m - 1 - 2 - 251	# 1 7 70 00
Total Mine Supervision	\$ 4,150.00

Other salaried personnel, watchmen, offices, warehouse, camp maintenance, etc. are charged into general expense from which the mine receives a pro-ratal share.