PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION OF THE EMMA AND SILVA CLAIMS T 30 N, R 45 E, S 25 & 26 MDBM LANDER COUNTY, NEVADA

PAN-NEVADA INC.


L. B. GOLDSMITH

J. K. DUFF

AUGUST, 1948

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LOCATION MAPS | | | | i, i |
|--------------------------|----------|--------|-------------|-------|
| INTRODUCTION | | | | |
| GENERAL GEOLOGY | | | | |
| ECONOMIC GEOLOGY | | | | |
| CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMEN | NDATIONS | | | 2 |
| APPENDIX | | | | |
| SAMPLE LOCATION MAP | | Pocket | inside bacl | cover |



EMMA & SILVA CLAIMS
LOCATION MAP
T30N, R45E \$25428
NOBH
LANGER COUNTY
NEVROR

P/7
Score
0123



INTRODUCTION.

The two properties are comprised of a single claim each, located in T 30 N, R 45 E, sec. 25 and 26, MDBM, Lander County, Nevada. Topographically, the claims are located on the steep slopes bordering Lewis Canyon (see topo map). The road is usable by car.

Preliminary examination of the claims was made on August 11, 1968, by J. K. Duff and L. B. Goldsmith. No patent corners were observed; the general area of each claim was investigated.

A Southern Pacific Mineral Survey Map (1) shows that gold and copper showings have been noted in the vicinity.

GENERAL GEOLOGY

Both claims are staked on cherts, the Emma claim being on Lower Ordovician and the Silva on Middle Ordovician formations, local silty (argillitic) and limy phases exist. Local formational attitudes vary widely but strike tends to be NNE.

Two N-S trending thrust faults are present in Lewis Canyon. Fracturing and jointing is moderately developed on the Emma claim; northerly trending shear zones carry minor limonitic material.

No outcrop was observed on the steep talus slope where the Silva claim was presumed to be located.

ECONOMIC GEOLOGY

Assays from the Emma shear zones are negative. No samples were taken on the Silva.

⁽¹⁾Southern Pacific Areal Economic Geology, Project 14, 1959.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

No additional work is recommended for either claim. Although no outcrop was sampled on the Silva claim, it is not regarded as a promising location.

L. B. Goldsmith

Pan-Nevada Inc. August, 1968 APPENDIX

SAMPLE LOGS - EMMA AND SILVA CLAIMS

| | | ASSAYS | |
|--------------------|--|---------|---------|
| NUMBER DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | Ozs/tes | Castion |
| 206 | Channel 15'. Fractured limestone at caved tunnel partol. | NII | NII |
| 207 | Chip, 6', across small auterop. Block slate (argidite). Minor manganese and iron stain. Some fracturing. | NII | NII |
| 208 | Chip, across 15°. Chert with minor manganese and iron stain. Small rusty rugs. Some fracturing. | NII | NII |

Assays were performed by the Union Assay Office, P. O. Box 1528, 269
Brooklyn Ave., Soit Lake City, Utah; the original assay report, dated August 15, 1968, is signed by Gion P. Williams.

Rominent Rah Nol bears N. 74 11:3145 Prominent Heak Nog bears N.70.35 L 3580 Post . 7º1 2009 A 30' 30' E reod sis. 130 1.1. Chloride Zovation Shaft Jr67. 55 F. 980 Lol. roll Coore Fost Nº5! 400. (10.1). (0.7%, de. Post Nº11