June 26, 1968.

Mr. Louis Meade Dixon, Messrs. Vargas, Bartlett & Dixon, 5th Floor, 195 South Sierra Street, Reno, Nevada 89501.

Dear Mr. Dixon:

The enclosed correpsondence from Mr. Donald B. Lamont to Mr. Frank W. Lewis and Mr. Lewis' reply concerning the Chromo Group, I believe, is self-explanatory.

Would you please take the necessary steps concerning Quit claim.

The details of the property as I have them are shown on the sheet numbered 38.

If further detail is needed you may contact Locke Goldsmith by mail or telephone at:

> Locke B. Goldsmith, Apt. 512, Snyder Avenue & West Street, Yerington, Nevada. Telephone - 702 463-2588.

NHU/nl

cc: Mr. South Trimble, III

Mr. Donald B. Lamont

Mr. Locke B. Goldsmith

(2) Coord - New York

- Hartford

Horman S. Ursel Norman H. Ursel, P. Eng.

COPY

PAN - NEVADA INC. Suite 500, 37 Lewis Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103

Please refer reply to:

103 East 37th Street, New York, N.Y. 10016.

June 7, 1968.

Mr. Frank W. Lewis, 6904 Woodman Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91405.

Dear Frank:

Re: Chromo Group (p38)

On May 1, 1968 we obtained information on this group from the Recorder's and Assessor's offices for Pershing County in Lovelock.

The two claims were leased to us as patented; in your brief summary on the claims you claimed that the title was "clouded".

Earlier the Bureau of Land Management in Reno indicated that these claims were unpatented; the Lovelock research verified the information. They have no record of your claim to ownership.

In addition they have no record of the claims being unpatents in good standing, or otherwise. Hence, you have not staked over the old boundaries, at least not using the property names furnished to us.

In short, according to the local garbled records, we have neither of the claims and cannot hope to get title to them without restaking providing the ground is open.

Would you please therefore inform us in detail with respect to the present status of each of the titles in dispute or in overlap as soon as possible. Thanks a lot.

Sincerely yours,

DBL/la

cc: J. W. Buckley

N. Ursel

L. Goldsmith

Coord (2)

Donald B. Lamont

Dear Donald Lamont:

Your analysis of the above seems to be correct.

I suggest you delete it by Quit claim Deed from the contract.

In my original deliberations with James Buckley I had asked him if he wanted my good and my bad. He wanted everything.

FWL

(xx) copies to: Norman Ursel (for your further handling)

J. L. B.

Coord (2)

DBL

# PERSHING COUNTY, NEVADA KENNEDY MINING DISTRICT

## PATENTED MINES

### CHROMO MINES

Survey No. 2097, Chromo

Survey No. 2097, Hidden Treasure No. 2

Title in name of Legend Corporation.

### PAN-NEVADA INC.

Suite 500 • 37 Lewis Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103

Please refer reply to:

103 East 37th Street New York, N.Y. 10016

June 7, 1968

Mr. Frank W. Lewis 6904 Woodman Avenue Van Nuys, California 91405

Re: Chromo Group (P38)

Dear Frank:

On May 1, 1968 we obtained information on this group from the Recorder's and Assessor's offices for Pershing County in Lovelock.

The two claims were leased to us as patented; in your brief summary on the claims you claimed that the title was "clouded".

Earlier the Bureau of Land Management in Reno indicated that these claims were unpatented; the Lovelock research verified the information. They have no record of your claim to ownership.

In addition they have no record of the claims being unpatents in good standing, or otherwise. Hence, you have not staked over the old boundaries, at least not using the property names furnished to us.

In short, according to the local garbled records, we have neither of the claims and cannot hope to get title to them without restaking providing the ground is open.

Would you please therefore inform us in detail with respect to the present status of each of the titles in dispute or in overlap as soon as possible. Thanks a lot.

Sincerely yours,

DBL/la

J. W. Buckley CC:

N. Ursel

L. Goldsmith

Comord (2)

Donald B. Lamont

MENO TO: NORMAN H VASIL'
FROM: L. B. GOLOS MINI
SUBJECT: CHRONO GROUP (P38)

On this group from the Recorders and lessesses of Offices for Bushing County in Covelock.

The two claims were leased to us as patented; in his buil summary on the claims level claims that the title was clouded.

Earlier the Bureau of land Management in heno indicated that these claims were unpatented the Sovelock research verified the information. They have no record of burs' claim to ownership.

In addition they have no second of the claims being unpatents in good standing or otherwise. Here flows has not stated over

the old boundaries of beat not using the property names furnished to as.

In short according to the beat garblest seconds, we have neither of the claims and cannot hope to get tottle to them without sestating providing the ground is open.

I secommend that lewis inform us in delact with respect to the susent states of each of the tottes in disjointe or in ovellapas soon as possible.

tock

Total area Hidden Treasure Nº1 lode 15.86 A 02 . Less area in conflict with Chromo lode 15 84 A. 20.32 " Total and net area Chromo lode Total and net area Hidden Treasure Nº2 lode 1603 " Net area lode claim

Chromo. Sept 8, 1891, Claim Located Hidden Treasure No1, Oct. 13,1902 Hidden Treasure Nº2, Oct. 13, 1902. Mineral Survey Nº 2097

> LOT NO Carson City

Land District.

OF THE CLAIM OF C.S. VADNER. ETAL

KNOWN AS THE

# CHROMO, HIDDEN TREASURE NO L AND HIDDEN TREASURE MEZ LOGI

KENNEDY MINING DISTRICT, HUMBOLDT COUNTY, NEVADA 52.19 Acres. Containing an Area of

Feet to the inch. Scale of 300 Feet to the Variation 16° 20' E

April 1st 3d

HERMON W HORNE U.S. Deputy Mineral Surveyor,

The Original Rield Notes of the Survey of the Mining Claim of C.S. VADNER ETAL

Chromo, Hidden Treasure No1, and Hidden Treasure Nº2 Lodes

from which this plat has been made under my direction, have been examined and approved, and are on file in this Office, and I hereby certify that they furnish such an accurate descrip tion of said Mining Claim as will, if incorporated into a patent, serve fully to identify the premises, and that such references is made therein to natural objects or permanent monuments as will perpetuate and facthe to cus, thereof.

F further certify that Neve Hundred Dollars worth of labor has been expended or improvements made upon said Mining. Claim by claimants or their gruntors, and that said improvements consist of | Cut \$45,2 Tunnels \$515, 1Cut & Tunnel \$165, 2 Shafts \$800, 1 Cut, Tunnel & Drift \$1412, 1 Cut, Tunnel & Winze

8470, 1Cut & Incline \$710; Total, \$4117. that the location of said improvements is correctly shown upon this plat, and that no portion of said labor or improvements has been included in the estimate of expenditures upon any other claim.

And I further certify that this is a correct plat of said Mining Claim made in conformity with said original field notes of the survey thereof, and the same is hereby approved.

W.S. Surveyor General's Office . 1 Reno, Nevada,

W.S.Surveyor General for

NEVADA.

KOL WILLOW,

July 25th , 1903

202135