

Mining District File Summary Sheet

DISTRICT	Clark County General
DIST_NO	0030 60000366
COUNTY	Clark
If different from written on document	
TITLE	Hughes Tool Co.; Claims offered for sale to
If not obvious	Hughes Tool; "I" "J" - Meadow Wash clay
AUTHOR	L Bunker; A J Anderson; H. Ferrin; C Ferrin; J G Haugh; D Haugh
DATE OF DOC(S)	1969-1970
MULTI_DIST Y / N?	
Additional Dist_Nos:	
QUAD_NAME	Farrier 7½; Wildcat Wash SB 7½'
P_M_C_NAME	Hughes Tool Co; Meadow Wash, Inc
(mine, claim & company names)	
COMMODITY	gold, silver, platinum; palladium; iridium;
If not obvious	rhodium; osmium
NOTES	property summary; correspondence; assay

Keep docs at about 250 pages if no oversized maps attached
(for every 1 oversized page (>11x17) with text reduce
the amount of pages by ~25)

Revised: 1/22/08

SS: DD 2/2/08
Initials Date

DB: _____
Initials Date

SCANNED: J.M. 3/12/09
Initials Date

HUGHES TOOL CO.

CLAIMS OFFERED FOR SALE TO HUGHES TOOL

60

"I" "J"

0030

Immomen

Quampah, etc

C. Allen Jensen, agent

Johnson

Jones

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. A. J. Anderson
Mining Division

FROM: L. Bunker

DATE: May 5, 1970

SUBJECT: Claims For Sale (With High Values in a Clay Formation).

Locators: Harold Ferrin
Connie Ferrin
John G. Hough
Dean Hough

Gibert Keirleber
Natalie Keirleber
Jim L. Stoltz
Margie Stoltz

(Addresses not given)

Agent: C. Allen Jensen Company
Financial & Insurance Counselors
520 So. Virgil Avenue
3rd Floor
Los Angeles, California

(Meadow Wash, Inc.)

Location: In the Meadow Valley Wash, about 12 miles North of
Glendale, Nevada in Clark County. Situated in
Sec. 13, 18; T13S; R65E.

Report: These unpatented placer claims were located in April,
1967. There is no evidence that any work has been
done on the claims.

On May 2, 1970, Lorin Bunker went to these claims
and took some pictures and brought back some samples.
The conditions and geology as reported on by the Robert
E. Craig and Co. to the owners are factual, however,
the value of the minerals in the ore needs to be
double checked. (A copy of the Craig-report is attached.)

The samples were delivered to the lab.

P.S. If this formation shows commercial values as reported
I know of similiar formations in the area that they
are not aware of that should carry like values and
should be investigated.

Respectfully,

L. Bunker

L. Bunker

LB/sst

RECEIVED

ROBERT E. CRAIG & Co.



MINING ENGINEERS
1044 ART STREET
SUN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA
94134

November 24, 1969

Meadow Wash Inc.
9341 Rush Street
South El Monte, California.

SUBJECT: Noble Metal Values Present in
Meadow Wash Clay.

Dear Sirs:

As per your instructions, I have completed a lengthy research project regarding recovery of noble metal values present in your clay deposit located in the State of Nevada, using more or less conventional smelting methods such as are used in similar types of metal recovery plants. I am pleased to advise that the following values have been recovered by the methods developed during the period of research.

VALUES PER TON OF ORE		
Ag - Gold	500 oz. per ton @ \$40.00	= \$ 20.00 per ton
Pb - Silver	2.95 oz. per ton @ \$1.80	= \$ 5.31 per ton
Os - Platinum	1.750 oz. per ton @ \$122.50	= \$ 459.37 per ton
Pd - Palladium	50.000 oz. per ton @ \$39.00	= \$ 3,120.00 per ton
Ir - Iridium	31.01 oz. per ton @ \$162.50	= \$ 5,037.75 per ton
Rh - Rhodium	64 oz. per ton @ \$222.50	= \$ 14,240.00 per ton
Ce - Cerium		= Not Recovered
La - Lanthanum		= Not Recovered

Total values recovered = \$13,782.13
Discounting Gold & Silver values and using only platinum metals present, the value recovered per ton being \$2,782.13

As we have explained to you in detail in the research work progressed, the above reported values are the minimum recoverable on a production basis.

Meadow Wash Inc.
9341 Rush Street
South El. Monte, California.

SUBJECT: Noble Metal Values Present In
Meadow Wash Clay.

Dear Sirs:

As per your instructions, I have completed a lengthy research project regarding recovery of noble metal values present in your clay deposit located in the State of Nevada, using more or less conventional smelting methods such as are used in similar types of metal recovery plants. I am pleased to advise that the following values have been recovered by the methods developed during the period of research.

VALUES PER TON OF ORE

Au - Gold .500 oz. per ton @ \$40.00	= \$ 20.00 per ton
Ag - Silver 2.99 oz. per ton @ \$1.80	= \$ 5.38 per ton
Pt - Platinum 3.750 oz. per ton @ \$122.50	= \$ 459.37 per ton
Pd - Palladium 80.000 oz. per ton @ \$39.00	= \$ 3,120.00 per ton
Ir - Iridium .31 oz. per ton @ \$162.50	= \$ 50.37 per ton
Rh - Rhodium 64 oz. per ton @ \$22.50	= \$ 142.40 per ton
Os - Osmium	
Ru - Ruthenium	

Platinum metals present, the value recovered per ton being

\$3,797.52 per ton

As we have explained to you in detail as the research work progresses, the above reported values are the minimum recoverable on a production basis.

ROBERT E. CRAIG & Co.



November 21, 1957

Meadow Wash Inc.
page two

Water as required will again present no problem in view of the fact springs are present and the terrain and location it is obvious that the water table will be contacted at a depth of 200 feet or less providing more than sufficient water for your requirements.

TONNAGE PROJECTION

Careful study of the geology of the property and adjoining areas has lead the writer to conclude that the major clay body will reach a minimum depth of 250' feet and in zones of nonconformity with basement formations could extend to a depth of 600' feet, for a mean average of 400' feet. Using common mathematics and basing tonnage figures on 145 pounds per square foot of material (clay) I must conclude as follows.

(1) Tonnage available without penetrating the nominal surface level of the wash area. (Block and cave from bluffs present) In sight. 1,000,000 tons plus

(2) Tonnage available over entire area of claims.
Removable by open pit operation. 75,000,000 tons minimum

Any further information regarding my findings will be made available upon your request.

Respectfully submitted,
Robert E. Craig
Robert E. Craig E.M. M. S.

REC/wc:

cliff
an
the

MINING
TOLSON
BUREAU OF
MINE INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.

ROBERT E. CRAIG & Co.

November 24, 1933

Meadow Wash Inc.
9341 Rush Street
South El Monte, California.

SUBJECT: Tonnage Verification Meadow
Wash Clay Deposit.

Dear Sirs:

After physical examination of your mining claim located in the Meadow Wash area North and East of Glendale, Nevada county of Clark may I advise as follows.

Your deposit encompasses a huge Permian Age Clay deposit of a sedimentary nature. The deposit has a minimal overburden amounting to less than 12" inches where present and at least 50% of the deposit area being free of overburden of any form. It was of further interest to the writer the lack of oversize tramp material in the clay body this will enhance to speed of mining and eliminate the need of costly crushing equipment. The material in question has been altered to a great degree and decomposed to a point that blasting will not be required to remove from place but can be dug and loaded using a tractor equiped with back rippers and front loader. This again will hold mining cost to minimum.

The general terrain presents one of the most ideal situations the writer has examined for the purpose of establishment of an open pit mining operation. It should be further noted that the main line of the Union Pacific Railroad passes through the property presenting transportation of supplies required in a most direct manner again holding handling costs to a bare minimum.